

Defense Group	Defendants' Argument	Defs' Objections	Pifs' MTD Opposition	R&R	Not Briefed in MTD
Manufacturers	RICO Standing	2-8	35-45	12-17	
Manufacturers	Plaintiffs' Claims Derivative, not Direct	2-5	14, 35, 38 n28, 45	23-24, 28, 80	
Manufacturers	Not Business or Property	5-8	35-38	13-17	
Manufacturers	RICO Proximate Cause	8-11	38-45	26-38	
Manufacturers	Proximate Cause Policy Based	9	43-44, 66		New
Manufacturers	Reliance on Neurontin Misplaced	10	25, 41, 46 n30	13 n.11, 30-31, 35	New
Manufact	SOL	12-13	122-128	54-56	
Manufacturers	Fraudulent Concealment	12-13	124-126	54-56	
Manufacturers	Continuing Violations	13	126-128	54-56	
Manufacturers	No Duty to Monitor or Report SOR	14-15	60-62	78-80, 102	
Manufacturers	Duty to Report Owed Only to DEA	14			New
Distributors	Conspiracy not Adequately Plead	2-4	99-105		
Distributors	Manufacturers didn't Advocate Quota Levels	2-3	55-56	10	
Distributors	Regulated Business Activity	3	104		
Distributors	Noerr-Pennington	3, n.2			Raised only in Reply at 13
Distributors	RICO no Injury to Business or Property	4-7	35-38	13-17	
Distributors	Proximate Cause	7-12	38-45, 78-82	26-36, 79-82	
Distributors	OPLA Abrogation/Derivative of Personal Injury	12-13	18-21, 88-90/14, 35, 45	23-24, 57-66	
Distributors	No Duty to Report and Halt SORs doesn't Run to Plaintiffs	14			New
Distributors	Economic Loss	15	85-88	71 n 48, 83-85	
Chain Pharmacies	No Claims against Pharmacies as Pharmacies	2	75 n47	78-79, 81 n51	
Chain Pharmacies	No Derivative Claims for Economic Loss	2-4	45, 85-88, 108-09	80-2, 83-85, 100-2	
Chain Pharmacies	No Statutory Public Nuisance	4-7	15-18	66-74	
Chain Pharmacies	No Claim for Injury through Criminal Acts	7-10	92-95	88-90	
Chain Pharmacies	No Unjust Enrichment	10-14	95-99	91-95	
Chain Pharmacies	Conspiracy Allegations against Pharmacies Insufficient	15	99-105	9-10, 37-38, 95-98	